

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES

FEBRUARY 25, 2014

At a regular meeting of the Orange County Board of Supervisors held on Tuesday, February 25, 2014, beginning at 4:00 p.m., in the Meeting Room of the Gordon Building, 112 West Main Street, Orange, Virginia. Present: S. Teel Goodwin, Chairman; Lee H. Frame, Jr., Vice Chairman; Shannon C. Abbs; James P. Crozier; and James K. White. Also present: R. Bryan David, Interim County Administrator; Thomas E. Lachenev, County Attorney; and Alyson A. Simpson, Chief Deputy Clerk.

RE: ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Mr. Frame moved, seconded by Mrs. Abbs and carried, to adopt the agenda as modified. Ayes: Abbs, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame, White. Nays: None.

RE: CONSENT AGENDA

Mr. Frame moved, seconded by Mrs. Abbs and carried, to approve the Consent Agenda as presented.

RE: FY14 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS

The Board approved the following supplemental appropriations as presented:

DEPARTMENT	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	FUNDING SOURCE
Animal Shelter Capital Projects	Generator	\$ 37,000.00	Donations
Subtotal Animal Shelter Capital Projects		\$ 37,000.00	

RE: RESOLUTION TO RATIFY DECLARATION OF LOCAL STATE OF EMERGENCY DECLARED ON FEBRUARY 12, 2014

The Board adopted the following resolution as presented:

**RESOLUTION TO RATIFY DECLARATION OF LOCAL STATE OF EMERGENCY
DECLARED ON FEBRUARY 12, 2014**

WHEREAS, beginning on Wednesday, February 12, 2014, Orange County anticipated potential impacts from an impending winter storm; and

WHEREAS, the Fire and EMS Chief recommended that a Local State of Emergency be declared; and

WHEREAS, on Wednesday, February 12, 2014, pursuant to §44-146.21 VA Code Ann., the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, as the Local Director of Emergency Management and after consultation with the County Administrator, declared a Local State of Emergency in the County because it seemed apparent that the impacts of the winter storm could result in damages or injuries to the population and property in Orange County, and in order to activate the local Emergency Operations Plan to immediately address this emergency; and

WHEREAS, an end to the Local State of Emergency was declared at 5:00 p.m. on Friday, February 14, 2014;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, on this 25th day of February, 2014, that the Orange County Board of Supervisors hereby ratifies the declaration of a Local State of

Emergency beginning at 6:00 p.m. on Wednesday, February 12, 2014, pursuant to §44-146.21 VA Code Ann.; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors hereby also confirms the end of the declared Local State of Emergency as of 5:00 p.m. on Friday, February 14, 2014; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors authorizes application to the State and/or Federal governments for reimbursement of qualifying expenditures made by qualifying entities during the Local State of Emergency; and

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors expresses its sincere appreciation to its employees and other persons who assisted with addressing this winter storm in Orange County.

RE: MINUTES

The Board approved the following minutes:

- February 11, 2014 Regular Meeting

Ayes: Abbs, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame, White. Nays: None.

RE: PUBLIC APPEARANCES

RE: BUSINESS SPOTLIGHT

Ralph Short, Owner of Wealth Preservers, appeared before the Board to spotlight his business. He explained that his business was a full-service financial consulting firm that opened in 1991, and his role was to empower business owners and their families to know what their business provided for them. Mr. Short stated that his client base was nationwide and ranged in size, but he operated locally in Orange County.

The Board thanked Mr. Short for his presentation.

RE: INTRODUCTION OF GOVERNOR'S SCHOOL LEGACY PROJECT

Evan Baines, Governor's School Student and Senior at Orange County High School, introduced himself to the Board. He explained his legacy project requirement to the Board, and presented a proposal to the Board regarding adoption of a Non-Discrimination Ordinance for Orange County, specifically for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) employment discrimination. Mr. Baines stated that, with the proposed ordinance, he hoped to establish that Orange County was a welcoming home for those commonly discriminated against.

The Board asked about the Governor's Order and whether or not that applied to State employees only, and thanked Mr. Baines for his presentation.

RE: FINANCE QUARTERLY REPORT

Glenda Bradley, Finance Director, presented the Finance Quarterly Report, which included information on the following: a review of fund balances; General Fund revenues; General Fund expenditures; sales tax revenues; and a summary of the Schools, Social Services, Debt Service, CIP, Airport, and Landfill funds.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: increases in tax revenue; a comparison of expenditure categories over the last five (5) years; and the status of pending CIP projects.

The Board thanked Ms. Bradley for her presentation.

RE: PUBLIC COMMENT

At 4:30 p.m., Chairman Goodwin opened the floor for public comment.

The following individuals spoke:

- Mary Beth Roland, Representative of Locust Grove Primary School PTA
- Kevin Gilliam, 20176 Mountain Track Road, Orange, VA
- Sharon Mohrmann, Orange, VA

There being no further speakers, public comment was closed at 4:45 p.m.

RE: BOARD COMMENT

There were no Board Comments at this time.

RE: ACTION ITEMS

RE: AMENDMENTS TO THE PERSONNEL POLICY MANUAL REGARDING VRS HYBRID EMPLOYEES

R. Bryan David, Interim County Administrator, explained that at the January 14, 2014, meeting, the Board of Supervisors was presented with a proposed policy amendment to the Orange County Personnel Policy. He noted that the amendment was intended to address the Virginia General Assembly's mandated requirement that all full-time employees hired by Orange County after January 1, 2014, be provided with a short-term disability benefit.

Mr. David stated that the Board took the proposed policy amendment under advisement in order to allow staff adequate time to assess the matter and address any questions, including determining whether or not it was feasible to migrate full-time employees hired prior to January 1, 2014, to a "Paid Time Off" leave program, which included a short-term disability benefit. He further stated that the underlying intent was to have all full-time employees receive the same leave and accrual; have access to an employer-funded short-term disability benefit; and, hold employees hired prior to January 1, 2014, harmless as to current accrued and unused leave balances.

Mr. David explained that after research and input from the County's insurance advisers, it appeared that making a "Paid Time Off" program available to employees hired prior to January 1, 2014, was impractical if the program was an "opt-in" program because that approach would not allow the actuaries to rate the pool of insureds since the total number would be unknown. Further, he explained that making such a "Paid Time Off" program a requirement rather than an option for all employees regardless of hire date would pose a challenge to successfully implement at this time, and he, therefore, recommended keeping the current sick leave accrual and use policy in place at this time for employees hired prior to January 1, 2014.

In conclusion, Mr. David noted that the County's insurance advisers recommended an employer-funded long-term disability insurance benefit as reasonable means to address the low sick leave balances kept by many of the current employees. He stated that the County's insurance advisers were asked to prepare a briefing document and to attend the next Board meeting on March 11, 2014, to present this matter.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: what would happen in the event that someone was permanently disabled; current VRS disability benefits; the fact that this would be an addition of a new benefit, and how the Hybrid employee benefits would compare to current employee benefits; and the qualification and review process for short-term and long-term disability.

Mr. Frame moved, seconded by Mrs. Abbs and carried, to approve the amendments to Personnel Policies 5.1, 5.2, and 5.10 regarding sick leave accrual for VRS Hybrid Employees, to be effectively immediately.

Ayes: Abbs, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT PERIODS FOR THE ROUTE 3 STRATEGIC VISIONING INITIATIVE

R. Bryan David, Interim County Administrator, explained that on several occasions in 2013, in response to the desire to provide an opportunity for public comment, the Board of Supervisors established the following public comment periods related specifically to the Route 3 Strategic Visioning Initiative:

- Tuesday, June 25, 2013;
- Tuesday, August 13, 2013;
- Tuesday, September 24, 2013;
- Tuesday, December 3, 2013 - Parks and Recreation Opportunities;
- Tuesday, January 28, 2014 - Input Related to the March, 2014 Charette; and
- Tuesday, February 25, 2014 - Input Related to the March, 2014 Charette.

He stated that in December, 2013, the Route 3 Steering Committee adopted a Communication Plan, which detailed the Committee's desire to ensure that specialized public comment periods were routinely provided regarding topics of interest and the Initiative generally. Mr. David noted that in keeping with the Communication Plan, staff was proposing establishing three (3) additional public comment periods to take place at upcoming Board of Supervisors' meetings, after the dinner break on the following dates:

- Tuesday, March 25, 2014;
- Tuesday, April 22, 2014; and
- Tuesday, May 13, 2014.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: the public report out of the Charette findings; arranging for public input opportunities directly to the Route 3 Steering Committee; and determining not to schedule these opportunities too far in advance.

Mr. Frame moved, seconded by Mr. Crozier and carried, to schedule an additional Public Comment Period after the dinner break on the meeting date listed below in order to allow for public input specific to the Route 3 Strategic Visioning Initiative, while also seeking input on the specific topics advertised:

- Tuesday, March 25, 2014 - Feedback related to the March 2014 Charette

Ayes: Abbs, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: REQUEST FOR SPEED STUDY IN BLACK WALNUT RUN ESTATES

R. Bryan David, Interim County Administrator, reported that Patrick Bane, President of the Black Walnut Run Estates Homeowner's Association, contacted staff last week regarding a concern with speeding travelers in the Black Walnut Run Estates subdivision. The two roads that Mr. Bane expressed particular concern over were Laurel Canyon Blvd. (Route 1062) and Beach Drive (Route 1063). Mr. David noted that the request from Mr. Bane was for speed bumps to be installed, and staff explained that the request for a speed study must be put forth to VDOT for any recommendations or action to take place.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: the request for speed bumps; traffic calming efforts and methods; available VDOT resources; and VDOT requests versus VDOT needs.

By consensus, the Board requested that Planning and Zoning staff work with the Black Walnut Run Estates Homeowner's Association and VDOT to determine the appropriate response regarding their concern for speeders in the subdivision, as well as their request for speed bumps.

RE: LEASING OF AIRPORT TERMINAL OFFICE SPACE

Kurt Hildebrand, Public Works Director, explained that the County had received an unsolicited request from Skyline Aviation Services to potentially lease the office portion of the old terminal building at the Orange County Airport. He presented the Board with a copy of Skyline Aviation's proposal.

Mr. Hildebrand stated that the Board might recall that Skyline Aviation Services provided flight instruction at the Airport and had attended a previous Board meeting as the "Business Spotlight" presenter. He further stated that their business had grown, as they now had approximately twelve (12) students, prompting their request to lease space to be used for flight school activities.

Mr. Hildebrand noted that there were no current plans for the space identified in the proposal. He further noted that there had been several previous verbal proposals for use of this space, but all had failed to develop far enough to warrant discussion with the Board. As additional information, Mr. Hildebrand explained that the County currently leased the adjoining hangar space for on-field aircraft maintenance services at a rate of \$540 per month. He added that although Skyline Aviation was offering to pay less than the hangar rate, they were offering to make repairs to the existing space, which was not included in the hangar lease agreement.

Mr. Hildebrand explained that he had conversations with the County Attorney regarding this request and Mr. Lacheney had no objections with the legal aspects of the request and did not believe it would require a public hearing. He explained that, given the facts, staff believed the lease proposal would be a good use for the facility, would provide improvements to the space, and would provide revenue for the Airport, and recommended approval to execute an agreement with Skyline Aviation Services.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: the possibility of negotiating a higher rental rate; the length of the lease; what interior improvements were planned for the space; what portions of the old terminal building would be utilized; an estimate of the square footage that would be leased; and the possibility of negotiating a portion of the monthly utilities to be included in the lease agreement.

Mrs. Abbs moved, seconded by Mr. Frame and carried, to authorize the Interim County Administrator to execute an agreement with Skyline Aviation Services for leasing of office space in the Old Terminal Building at the Orange County Airport, for a term of one (1) year, renewable on an annual basis with agreement by both parties, contingent upon approval as to form by the County Attorney, with the understanding that the Public Works Director may attempt to negotiate a higher monthly rental fee to include a pro-rated portion of the monthly utilities.

Ayes: Abbs, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: FEE DONATION REQUEST FROM ORANGE BAPTIST CHURCH

R. Bryan David, Interim County Administrator, explained that Orange Baptist Church was requesting a fee donation for tipping fees at the Landfill associated with the Mission Madness event that was scheduled to take place in Orange County on March 8, 2014. He noted that an

estimate of the amount of the fee donation had not been provided at this time; however, the standard in the past had been to set aside \$200 for each fee donation request, with the understanding that only the necessary amount, up to \$200, would be donated.

Mrs. Abbs moved, seconded by Mr. Frame and carried, to approve a fee donation request for Orange Baptist Church for Landfill tipping fees associated with the Mission Madness event to be held on March 8, 2014, using funds from Line Item 4-100-092100-5830 (Refunds by Supervisors) from the FY 2014 budget, in an amount up to \$200.

Ayes: Abbs, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: REQUEST REGARDING RESTRICTION OF "THROUGH TRUCK TRAFFIC" ON ROUTE 646 (LOVERS LANE)

Supervisor Abbs explained that she had recently met with VDOT regarding concerns she had received on "through truck traffic" on Route 646 (Lovers Lane). She stated that VDOT had certain conditions and criteria in place regarding the ability to restrict "through truck traffic," and she requested that the Board support putting this item before VDOT for their consideration and recommendation.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: information from the site visit with VDOT; the conditions and criteria in place; and the review process established by VDOT.

Mr. Frame moved, seconded by Mrs. Abbs and carried, to request that VDOT review and consider the possibility of restricting "through truck traffic" on Route 646 (Lovers Lane) in Gordonsville, Virginia.

Ayes: Abbs, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: APPOINTMENTS TO BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, AND COMMITTEES

Mr. Goodwin moved, seconded by Mrs. Abbs and carried, to re-appoint Mr. Robert "Bob" McConnell to the Economic Development Authority as the District 1 Representative for a four-year term, with said term commencing January 1, 2014, and expiring on December 31, 2017.

Mr. Goodwin moved, seconded by Mrs. Abbs and carried, to appoint Mr. George Allman to the Local Board of Building Code Appeals as an At-Large Representative, filling the unexpired two-year term of Mr. Guido Terziotti, with said term commencing immediately and expiring on December 31, 2015.

Ayes: Abbs, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: APPOINTMENT OF ROTATING MEMBER TO GERMANNA COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD

By consensus, the Board expressed their interest in having Mr. Ralph Short be contacted regarding his interest in serving as the Rotating Member representing Orange County on the Germanna Community College Board.

RE: DISCUSSION ITEMS

There were no Discussion Items at this time.

RE: INFORMATION ITEMS

The Board received the following correspondence for its information:

- VDOT Monthly Report for February

- Solicitation of Landfill Operator
- Letter of Commendation from Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University

RE: COMMITTEE REPORTS

The Board received the following Committee Reports for its information:

- January 7, 2014 Culpeper Soil and Water Conservation Minutes
- January 8, 2014 School Board Minutes

RE: CALENDAR

The Board received copies of its calendar for the months of February, March, and April 2014.

RE: RESCHEDULE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' BI-ANNUAL STRATEGIC PLANNING RETREAT

Mr. Frame moved, seconded by Mr. White and carried, to reschedule the Board of Supervisors' bi-annual Strategic Planning Retreat for March 14, 2014 and March 15, 2014, at the Orange County Airport.

Ayes: Abbs, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: BUDGET WORKSESSION

RE: COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S RECOMMENDED BUDGET TO BE DISTRIBUTED

R. Bryan David, Interim County Administrator, distributed copies of the draft Fiscal Year 2015 County Administrator's Proposed Budget Line Item Detail, with the understanding that the Budget Presentation would take place on Thursday, February 27, 2014 at 7:30 p.m.

RE: CLOSED MEETING

At 5:32 p.m., Mr. Lacheney read the following motion authorizing Closed Meeting:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Orange County desired to discuss in Closed Meeting the following matters:

- Consultation with legal counsel pertaining to actual or probable litigation, where such consultation in open meeting would adversely affect the negotiating or litigating posture of the public body; and consultation with legal counsel employed or retained by a public body regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel. - §2.2-3711(A)(7) of the Code of Virginia;

WHEREAS, pursuant to §2.2-3711(A)(7) of the Code of Virginia, such discussions may occur in Closed Meeting;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of Orange County hereby authorized discussion of the aforesated matters in Closed Meeting.

Mr. Frame moved, seconded by Mrs. Abbs and carried, to approve the resolution authorizing Closed Meeting as presented. Ayes: Abbs, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: CERTIFICATION OF CLOSED MEETING

At 6:47 p.m., Mr. Lacheney read the following resolution certifying Closed Meeting:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Orange County has this day adjourned into Closed Meeting in accordance with a formal vote, and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, the Freedom of Information Act requires certification that such Closed Meeting was conducted in conformity with the law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of Orange County hereby certified that to the best of each member's knowledge, i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Freedom of Information Act were discussed in the Closed Meeting to which this certification applied, and ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion by which the said Closed Meeting was convened were heard, discussed or considered by it.

Ayes: Abbs, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD FOR ROUTE 3 STRATEGIC VISIONING INITIATIVE: Input Related to the March, 2014 Charette
At 6:48 p.m., Chairman Goodwin opened the floor for public comment.

There being no speakers, public comment was closed at 6:48 p.m.

RE: RECESS AND RECONVENE
The Board took a brief recess at 6:48 p.m. and reconvened at 6:55 p.m.

RE: PUBLIC HEARING
Gregg Zody, Planning and Zoning Director, review the staff report regarding the proposed text amendments for Private Road Standards, including the Planning Commission's Public Hearing process, revisions, and recommendation to the Board.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: removal of the language for the compaction requirements; the requirement of language on the individual plats; sharing of the cost in repairing the roads; information required to be listed in the property covenants; and language to be included on the individual deeds.

At 7:06 p.m., Chairman Goodwin called the Public Hearing to order to receive comments on the following:

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE ORANGE COUNTY SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE

DIVISION 2 – GENERAL STREET DESIGN STANDARDS*

Sec. 54-167 – Construction standards.

~~The Virginia Department of Transportation Subdivision Street Requirements (hereafter referred to as "public street requirements") are hereby adopted by reference. Private Streets shall be constructed as follows:~~

1. Any road created to serve one (1) or two (2) lots, ~~or any family subdivision of up to seven (7) lots, shall~~ may be privately constructed and maintained.
2. Any road created to ~~serve three (3) or more between three and up to seven lots (i.e. a minor subdivision), or any other road not otherwise provided for in this ordinance, may be privately constructed and maintained, to meet the public, provided the following minimum street construction standards requirements as referenced are met:~~
 - 1) ~~The minimum width of the roadway shall be sixteen (16) feet~~ twelve (12) feet and the minimum vertical clearance shall be fourteen (14) feet;
 - 2) ~~The grade shall not exceed ten (10) percent;~~

- 3) The road surface may be either paved or gravel. Gravel roads shall consist of a minimum of six (6) inches of compacted aggregate; paved roads shall consist of a minimum six (6) inches of gravel and asphalt;
- 4) The roadway shall provide adequate drainage so as not to promote standing water;
- 5) All culverts shall be constructed and installed to VDOT standards.
3. Any existing private road upon which property is divided such that the road serves between three or more and up to seven lots must be improved to meet the public private street requirements as referenced in Sec. 54-167(2). Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to apply to any previously approved lot or subdivision unless a new division occurs which affects said private road.
4. ~~Any road created to serve three (3) or more lots within a family subdivision may be privately maintained but shall be constructed to meet the public private street requirements as referenced.~~ Any road created to serve eight or more lots (i.e. a major subdivision), or any other subdivision road as so desired by the property owner(s), shall be constructed to meet the Virginia Department of Transportation Subdivision Street Requirements (i.e. the public street requirements).
5. Covenants outlining the responsibility of construction and maintenance of any private right-of-way created to serve a subdivision shall be submitted to the county attorney for review with a plat of said subdivision and subsequently recorded with said subdivision plat upon approval.

DIVISION 4 – PLATS AND PLANS*

Sec. 54-28 – Family Subdivision.

11. ~~Any street created to serve three (3) or more lots within a family subdivision shall be built to the VDOT subdivision street standards. Streets serving a family subdivision may be privately maintained in accordance with provision 12 below.~~
13. ~~Prior to the issuance of a building permit on a family subdivision lot not having frontage on a state road, the property owner shall sign and record in the land records an agreement, which shall be reflected in the chain of title for that lot, stating that the property owner understands that:~~
 - a. ~~The easement or right-of-way serving the lot is private and the road or drive within it shall be maintained by the benefited property owner(s);~~
 - b. ~~The road or drive is ineligible for admission into the state secondary road system for maintenance unless it is brought into conformance with the requirements of this subdivision ordinance at no cost to the county or state;~~
 - c. ~~Until the road is accepted into the secondary road system, state maintenance will not be provided, and mail service and school bus service may not be available to the property.~~

DIVISION 5 – FINAL PLATS*

Sec. 54-94 – Certificates.

- (9) For subdivisions showing the creation of a private street the plat shall clearly state the following:
 “The streets in this subdivision serve ____ lots and do not meet the standards necessary for inclusion in the system of state highways and will not be maintained by the Department of Transportation or the county and are not eligible for rural addition funds or any other funds appropriated by the General Assembly and allocated by the Commonwealth Transportation Board. Such streets shall be constructed and maintained in accordance with the standards set forth in Sec. 54-167.”

* Includes recommended changes made by the Planning Commission.

There being no speakers, Chairman Goodwin closed the Public Hearing at 7:06 p.m.

Further discussion ensued among the Board regarding: definition of major and minor subdivisions; definition of right-of-way; whether or not there were any standards in the State Code for maintenance; treatment of subdivisions versus family subdivisions; and how these amendments would not impact existing roads.

Mr. Frame moved, seconded by Mr. Crozier and carried, to adopt the following ordinance as presented:

ORDINANCE APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO DIVISIONS 2, 4, AND 5 OF THE ORANGE COUNTY
SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE CONCERNING PRIVATE ROAD STANDARDS

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors previously initiated Planning Commission action on text amendments to Divisions 2, 4, and 5 of the Orange County Subdivision Ordinance concerning private road standards; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Director and County Attorney drafted recommended language for the text amendments; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission advertised and held Public Hearings on the proposed text amendments on October 3, 2013, and November 21, 2013; and

WHEREAS, after discussing the proposed text amendments, and considering comments received during the Public Hearings, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the proposed text amendments to the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has conducted a duly advertised Public Hearing on February 25, 2014, concerning the proposed text amendments, and hereby supports the proposed text amendments; and

WHEREAS, public necessity, convenience, general welfare, and/or good practice also support approval of the proposed text amendments;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, on this 25th day of February, 2014, that the Orange County Board of Supervisors hereby adopts the proposed text amendments to Divisions 2, 4, and 5 of the Orange County Subdivision Ordinance concerning General Street Design Standards - Construction Standards, Plats and Plans - Family Subdivision, and Final Plats - Certificates, as shown above.

Ayes: Abbs, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to discuss, Mr. White moved, seconded by Mrs. Abbs and carried, to adjourn the meeting at 7:12 p.m. Ayes: Abbs, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

S. Teel Goodwin, Chairman

R. Bryan David, Interim County Administrator