

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES

JUNE 14, 2016

At a regular meeting of the Orange County Board of Supervisors held on Tuesday, June 14, 2016, beginning at 5:00 p.m., in the Meeting Room of the Gordon Building, 112 West Main Street, Orange, Virginia. Present: Lee H. Frame, Chairman; S. Teel Goodwin, Vice Chairman; R. Mark Johnson; James K. White, and James P. Crozier. Also present: R. Bryan David, County Administrator; Thomas E. Lacheny, County Attorney; and Alyson A. Simpson, Chief Deputy Clerk.

RE: ADOPTION OF AGENDA

On the motion of Mr. Goodwin, seconded by Mr. Johnson, which carried by a vote of 5-0, the Board adopted the agenda, as modified. Ayes: Johnson, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS AND APPEARANCES

RE: SERVICE AWARDS

R. Bryan David, County Administrator, presented the following Service Awards:

- Nicola Tidey 5 Years E-911 Center Director
- Ashley Jacobs 5 Years Program Coordinator
- Dawn Watson 15 Years Treasurer
- Connie Clark 15 Years Accountant

Receiving a Service Award, but not in attendance at the meeting, was:

- Melissa Morris 5 Years Circuit Court Deputy

RE: LIFE SAVING AWARDS

This item was struck from the agenda.

RE: BUSINESS SPOTLIGHT

Eric Hopwood, Manager of Honah Lee Vineyard, appeared before the Board to spotlight his business. He explained that the vineyards had been established in the mid-1990s, as well as the sale of commercial turkeys, and the tasting room, wine production, and wedding venue had been added in recent years. Mr. Hopwood also noted that there was a certified kitchen on-site and homemade jams, jellies, and wines were sold.

The Board thanked Mr. Hopwood for his presentation.

RE: CONSENT AGENDA

On the motion of Mr. Goodwin, seconded by Mr. Crozier, which carried by a vote of 5-0, the Board adopted the Consent Agenda, as presented.

RE: FY16 BUDGET AMENDMENTS (SUPPLEMENTALS AND TRANSFERS)

As part of the Consent Agenda, the Board approved the following budget amendments, as presented:

ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	PREVIOUS BUDGET	BUDGET CHANGE	AMENDED BUDGET
30026501-32400	Orange Library - Lost Books	\$ 0.00	\$ (422.96)	\$ (422.96)
47310001-46466	Books - Refunds	0.00	422.96	422.96
30026501-32475	Wild. Library - Lost Books	0.00	(143.86)	(143.86)
47311001-46466	Books - Refunds	0.00	143.86	143.86

30026501-32525	G'ville Library - Lost Books	0.00	(205.81)	(205.81)
47312001-46466	Books - Refunds	0.00	205.81	205.81
30030006-33500	Donations - Animal Shelter	(42,068.16)	(2,939.00)	(45,007.16)
43520003-43115	Prof. Serv. - Emer. Vet	38,904.16	2,939.00	41,843.16
30045065-35880	Virginia Tourism Corp. Grant	0.00	(10,000.00)	(10,000.00)
48160003-43600	VTC Grant Expenses	298.00	10,000.00	10,298.00
43140001-43415	E911 Exp., Maint., Etc.	78,000.00	18,000.00	96,000.00
43140001-45230	Telephone Services	37,500.00	18,500.00	56,000.00
49140001-45900	Contingency	157,048.58	(36,500.00)	120,548.58
49140001-45920	Reserve - CVRJ Budget	160,025.00	(30,000.00)	130,025.00
43320001-46900	Central Va. Reg. Jail	1,303,389.00	30,000.00	1,333,389.00
TOTALS		\$ 1,733,096.58	\$ 0.00	\$ 1,733,096.58

RE: APPROVAL OF POSITION RECLASSIFICATIONS

As part of the Consent Agenda, the Board approved the job descriptions for the following positions reclassified with the adoption of the FY2017 Operating Budget, as presented:

- Assistant County Administrator for Finance and Management Services
- Assistant County Administrator for Operations
- Director of Information Technology
- E911 Center Director/Public Safety Communications Systems Manager

RE: MINUTES

As part of the Consent Agenda, the Board approved the following minutes:

- May 24, 2016 Worksession
- May 24, 2016 Regular Meeting

RE: NEW BUSINESS

There were no matters for New Business at this time.

RE: OLD BUSINESS

There were no matters for Old Business at this time.

RE: DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR / CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICER REPORTS

RE: REPORT ON WALMART SUP CONDITION FUNDS

Josh Frederick, Planning and Zoning Director, explained that Condition 4(j) placed on the Walmart Special Use Permit (SUP 11-05) stipulated that the company deposit \$150,000 with the County, to be used toward improvements at the Route 3 and Lake of the Woods Way/Goodwin Drive intersection. He added that the funds were deposited with the County prior to site plan approval in 2012. Mr. Frederick reminded the Board that Condition 4(j) also required the Planning Department to provide an update to the Board of Supervisors regarding the status of the improvements, if the funds had not been expended within five (5) years (i.e. October 2016).

Mr. Frederick reported that intersection improvement plans had been submitted and approved by VDOT, and it was determined that VDOT would utilize State forces to construct the turn lane and signalization improvements, versus hiring a private contractor. He added that the County Attorney was working on an agreement with VDOT regarding the disbursement of the \$150,000, which would complete a remaining final step in the process.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: the status of obtaining and completing the necessary paperwork with VDOT so that the project could be finalized and begin.

The Board took the information regarding the Walmart SUP Condition funds under advisement, and there was no action taken at this time.

RE: UPDATE ON COMPLETION OF PHASE I OF THE GIS ROADMAP

Larry Clement, Information Technology Manager, presented a PowerPoint presentation to the Board, which included information on the following topics: GIS RoadMap project goals; participation in the Needs Assessment; findings from the Needs Assessment; High-Level priorities established from the Needs Assessment; and identified next steps.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: the ability to expand the “modules” in the system to allow for growth; the current problems experienced from having multiple different “base” systems; the level of information that would be accessible by the public; the duplication of efforts; and training opportunities.

The Board took the information regarding completion of Phase 1 of the GIS RoadMap under advisement, and there was no action taken at this time.

RE: ADOPTION OF THE ORANGE COUNTY INCENTIVE POLICY

R. Bryan David, County Administrator, noted that a draft policy of local incentives was created to induce commercial investment in the community. This policy was developed through past work related to the County’s Enterprise Zone application, and through coordinated efforts of the Germanna-Wilderness Area Plan Steering Committee and the Economic Development Authority. Mr. David presented a copy of the draft policy to the Board for its consideration.

On the motion of Mr. Crozier, seconded by Mr. Goodwin, which carried by a vote of 5-0, the Board adopted the Orange County Economic Development Incentive Policy, as presented.

Ayes: Johnson, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: VIRGINIA AIRPORTS SUSTAINABILITY MANAGEMENT PLAN

Buzz Jarrell, Airport Manager, reminded the Board that he had previously been selected to participate on a Steering Committee for development of the Virginia Airports Sustainability Management Plan, and the Orange County Airport had also been used as a Case Study for the project. Now that the sustainability plans had been completed, the Virginia Department of Aviation was prepared to host several workshops to introduce the plans, and Mr. Jarrell was pleased to announce that one of the three workshops would take place at the Orange County Airport, which was an honor.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: areas covered in the sustainability plans; various uses of the Airport facilities; and the desire to receive an annual report from the Airport Commission.

The Board took the information regarding the Virginia Airports Sustainability Management Plan under advisement, and there was no action taken at this time.

RE: COUNTY ATTORNEY’S REPORT

RE: REMINGTON-PRATTS-GORDONSVILLE TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECT

Thomas Lacheney, County Attorney, provided a brief update to the Board on the proposed Remington-Pratts-Gordonsville transmission line project with Dominion Virginia Power. He explained that Dominion had requested an extension from the State Corporation Commission

(SCC) to allow time to examine the viability of reducing the proposed tower height. Mr. Lacheny indicated that it would not be necessary at the current time for the Board to take any formal action because Dominion was already in the process of examining options to reduce project impacts.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: variables affecting the proposed tower height; right-of-way width; discussions with affected property owners; and the agreement that no action was needed at this time.

The Board took the information regarding the Remington-Pratts-Gordonsville transmission line project under advisement, and there was no action taken at this time.

RE: COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

RE: PUBLIC SAFETY COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM, CONSOLIDATED FACILITY, AND FIBER OPTICS NETWORK / RURAL BROADBAND PROJECTS

R. Bryan David, County Administrator, provided an update to the Board on the four (4) elements related to this project. He explained that seven (7) firms had responded to the Request for Proposals (#2016-13) for professional engineering services for the Public Safety Telecommunications System. These technical services were to develop specifications and manage the solicitation, acquisition, and commissioning of the *Land Mobile Radio (LMR)* network, in addition to supporting the design, siting, and deployment of the communications towers needed for the system. Mr. David noted that a recommendation was anticipated to be presented at the next meeting on June 28, 2016.

In regard to the consolidated public safety facility, the update of the needs assessment for each of the departments had been completed and the project team was proceeding with the conceptual layouts, narrative floor plan use descriptions, and preliminary construction cost estimate. He added that the information was anticipated for presentation at the Worksession on July 12, 2016.

Related to the rural broadband and fiber optics element, Mr. David provided updated information to the Board on the development of the Orange County Broadband Authority; the Schools' E-Rate funding application; and changes to Mid-Atlantic Broadband Communities Corporation's fiber option project. Lastly, Mr. David reviewed work to-date and next steps related to financing options, new financial policies, and financing options that were being evaluated with the assistance of Davenport and Company.

Stephanie Straub, Financial Management Specialist, provided a PowerPoint presentation to the Board, which included information on the following topics: an overview of the proposed broadband project; a review of the Schools' broadband project; a map showing the estimated location of the proposed fiber lines; potential funding sources; joint fiber project opportunities; and deployment of the fiber optics network.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: timing of the Schools' broadband project; and when a decision may be reached regarding receipt of the E-Rate funds.

The Board took the information regarding the Public Safety Telecommunications System, consolidated public safety facility, and broadband projects under advisement, and there was no action taken at this time.

RE: CLOSED MEETING

At 6:10 p.m., Mr. Lacheny read the following motion authorizing Closed Meeting:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Orange County desired to discuss in Closed Meeting the following matter:

- Consultation with legal counsel pertaining to actual or probable litigation, where such consultation in open meeting would adversely affect the negotiating or litigating posture of the public body; and consultation with legal counsel employed or retained by a public body regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel. - §2.2-3711(A)(7) of the Code of Virginia

WHEREAS, pursuant to §2.2-3711 (A)(7) of the Code of Virginia, such discussions may occur in Closed Meeting;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of Orange County hereby authorized discussion of the aforestated matters in Closed Meeting.

On the motion of Mr. Crozier, seconded by Mr. Goodwin, which carried by a vote of 5-0, the Board adopted the resolution authorizing Closed Meeting as presented. Ayes: Johnson, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: CERTIFICATION OF CLOSED MEETING

At 6:51 p.m., Ms. Simpson read the following resolution certifying Closed Meeting:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Orange County has this day adjourned into Closed Meeting in accordance with a formal vote, and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, the Freedom of Information Act requires certification that such Closed Meeting was conducted in conformity with the law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of Orange County hereby certified that to the best of each member's knowledge, i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Freedom of Information Act were discussed in the Closed Meeting to which this certification applied, and ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion by which the said Closed Meeting was convened were heard, discussed or considered by it.

Ayes: Johnson, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

RE: COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT (Continued)

RE: RECEIPT OF OUTSTANDING EMS AGENCY AWARD

R. Bryan David, County Administrator, announced the recent receipt of the Outstanding EMS Agency award, presented by the Rappahannock Emergency Medical Services (REMS) Council. He explained that while he wanted to make the Board aware, staff from the Fire and EMS Department would be at an upcoming meeting in order to share additional information and be recognized for the honor.

The Board took the information regarding the Outstanding EMS Agency Award under advisement, and there was no action taken at this time.

RE: ACQUISITION OF TANKER FOR BARBOURSVILLE VOLUNTEER FIRE COMPANY

Supervisor Johnson indicated that he had received a response from the Barboursville Volunteer Fire Company and they desired to receive a one-time cash contribution for the acquisition of apparatus, versus the County lease-purchasing the apparatus for the entire purchase amount. This matter was previously discussed at a worksession, at which time the Board agreed on certain contribution options.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: the options that were presented to Barboursville Volunteer Fire Company; and the total contribution amounts that were presented.

By consensus, the Board requested that staff confirm the contribution amounts presented at a previous worksession, with the understanding that confirmation of the amounts would be provided to the Board and to the Barbourville Volunteer Fire Company in writing.

RE: PUBLIC COMMENT

At 7:00 p.m., Chairman Frame opened the floor for public comment.

There being no speakers, public comment was closed at 7:00 p.m.

RE: PUBLIC HEARING #1: AMENDMENTS TO THE ORANGE COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES CONCERNING HOME-BASED BUSINESSES

Josh Frederick, Planning and Zoning Director, presented draft language to the Board for amendments concerning home-based businesses. He reviewed the Planning Commission's recommendation and changes, and briefly reminded the Board of the history of the amendments and the changes previously made by the Board.

At 7:01 p.m., Chairman Frame called the Public Hearing to order to receive comments on the following:

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE

The Board of Supervisors will consider an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance regarding home-based businesses. The amendment would permit a more intensive type of home occupation, known as a "home enterprise," as an accessory use in the Agricultural (A) zoning district, and would add a new definition for "home enterprise."

There being no speakers, Chairman Frame closed the Public Hearing at 7:01 p.m.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: the use of discretion by the Zoning Administrator when processing and making decisions on these types of requests.

On the motion of Mr. Johnson, seconded by Mr. Goodwin, which carried by a vote of 5-0, the Board adopted the following ordinance, as presented:

ORDINANCE APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLE I (IN GENERAL) AND ARTICLE IV (DISTRICT REGULATIONS), SECTION 70 (ZONING), OF THE ORANGE COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES CONCERNING HOME-BASED BUSINESSES

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors previously initiated Planning Commission action on amendments to Article I (In General) and Article IV (District Regulations), Section 70 (Zoning), of the Orange County Code of Ordinances concerning home-based businesses; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Director and County Attorney drafted recommended language for the text amendments, which was presented to the Planning Commission for consideration; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission advertised and held a Public Hearing on the proposed text amendments on April 7, 2016; and

WHEREAS, after discussing the proposed text amendments, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the proposed text amendments to the Board of Supervisors, as modified during its meeting; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors conducted a duly advertised Public Hearing on June 14, 2016, to receive public comment; and

WHEREAS, following discussion at the Public Hearing, the Board of Supervisors hereby supports the proposed text amendments, as presented; and

WHEREAS, public necessity, convenience, general welfare, and/or good zoning practice also support approval of the proposed text amendments;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, on this 14th day of June, 2016, that the Orange County Board of Supervisors hereby **approves** the proposed amendments to Article I (In General) and Article IV (District Regulations), Section 70 (Zoning), of the Orange County Code of Ordinances concerning home-based businesses, as presented and shown below.

Ayes: Johnson, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

Adopted Amendments to the Orange County Code of Ordinances

**As adopted in Ord. No. 160614 – PH1
by the Orange County Board of Supervisors
on June 14, 2016**

Chapter 70 - Zoning

Article I - In General

Sec. 70-1. - Definitions.

[...]

Home enterprise means a low-impact, home-based business that is conducted within a single-family dwelling and/or accessory structure on a parcel at least 2 acres in size, along with the passive, incidental use of immediately adjacent land. For the purpose of this chapter, a home enterprise is intended to be more intensive than a home occupation, but remains an accessory use to a dwelling.

[...]

Article IV - District Regulations

Division 2. - Agricultural zoning district

Sec. 70-302. - Permitted uses.

In the agricultural district, land may be used for the following uses, and any accessory use that is customarily incidental to such uses, including home occupations and home enterprises:

[...]

RE: PUBLIC HEARING #2: AMENDMENTS TO THE ORANGE COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES CONCERNING THE ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS FOR PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX RETURN FORMS

Thomas Lacheney, County Attorney, presented draft language to the Board for amendments concerning the annual requirements for personal property tax return forms. He explained that the Board had previously discussed these amendments, and the changes desired by the Board had been made and advertised.

At 7:05 p.m., Chairman Frame called the Public Hearing to order to receive comments on the following:

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE TAXATION ORDINANCE

The Board of Supervisors will consider an amendment to Article II (Administration) of the Orange County Taxation Ordinance, specifically to Section 58-54 (Filing of Returns). This amendment

would remove the requirement for the Commissioner of the Revenue to distribute annual personal property tax return forms every year when a taxpayer's property ownership has not changed. Instead, the Commissioner of the Revenue will utilize the information received from the Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles, the Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries, and other public or private entities required by law to report the presence of such property located within the County.

There being no speakers, Chairman Frame closed the Public Hearing at 7:05 p.m.

On the motion of Mr. Crozier, seconded by Mr. Johnson, which carried by a vote of 5-0, the Board adopted the following ordinance, as presented:

ORDINANCE APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLE II (ADMINISTRATION), SECTION 58 (TAXATION), OF THE ORANGE COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES CONCERNING THE ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS FOR PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX RETURN FORMS

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors previously initiated action on amendments to Article II (Administration), Section 58 (Taxation), of the Orange County Code of Ordinances concerning the annual requirements for personal property tax return forms; and

WHEREAS, recommended language for the text amendments was drafted, which was presented to the Board of Supervisors for consideration; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors conducted a duly advertised Public Hearing on June 14, 2016, to receive public comment; and

WHEREAS, following discussion at the Public Hearing, the Board of Supervisors hereby supports the proposed text amendments, as presented; and

WHEREAS, public necessity, convenience, general welfare, and/or good practice also support approval of the proposed text amendments;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, on this 14th day of June, 2016, that the Orange County Board of Supervisors hereby **approves** the proposed amendments to Article II (Administration), Section 58 (Taxation), of the Orange County Code of Ordinances concerning the annual requirements for personal property tax return forms, as presented and shown below.

Ayes: Johnson, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

Adopted Amendments to the Orange County Code of Ordinances

**As adopted in Ord. No. 160614 – PH2
by the Orange County Board of Supervisors
on June 14, 2016**

Chapter 58 - Taxation

Article II - Administration

Sec. 58-54. - Filing of returns.

~~Pursuant to Code of Virginia, § 58.1-3916, every person owning taxable tangible personal property, machinery and tools, and merchants capital in the county shall file a return with the commissioner of the revenue declaring that fact on or before May 1 in the year in which such property is subject to taxation by the county.~~

~~(Ord. of 8-10-1993)~~

- a. Returns for tangible personal property, business tangible personal property, machinery and tools, or merchant's capital with a situs in the county as of January 1, shall be filed with the commissioner of the revenue no later than May 1 of the tax year in accordance with the following provisions:
 1. Tangible personal property, machinery and tools, and merchant's capital with a situs in the county as of January 1, shall be filed with the commissioner of the revenue no later than May 1 of the tax year, with the exception of motor vehicles, trailers, semi-trailers, boats, or watercraft for which a return has previously been filed.
 2. Notwithstanding the provisions of this section, any person who has previously filed a property return on any motor vehicle, trailer, semi-trailer, boat, or watercraft, for which there has been no change in situs or status as hereinafter set forth in this section, shall not be required to file another personal property tax return on such property. The assessment and taxation of property shall be based on the most recent tax return previously filed with the county.
 3. Furthermore, a taxpayer who failed to file a personal property tax return on such property in any previous tax year, but who pays a personal property tax for such tax year based on information supplied to the taxpayer by the commissioner of the revenue, shall be deemed for purpose of this paragraph to have filed a return on such property for subsequent tax years.
- b. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the commissioner of the revenue, at his/her option, may waive the requirement for the filing of tax returns for motor vehicles, trailers, semi-trailers, boats, or watercrafts, and, pursuant to Code of Virginia Sections 58.1-3518.1 and 58.1-3519, may assess such property based upon information received from the Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles, the Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries, or other public agency or private entity required by law to report the presence of such property within the county, and the tax shall be assessed and levied on such information.

RE: PUBLIC HEARING #3: AMENDMENTS TO THE ORANGE COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES CONCERNING THE ORIGIN OF WASTE DISPOSED IN THE COUNTY

Thomas Lacheney, County Attorney, presented draft language to the Board for amendments concerning the origin of waste disposed in the County. He reminded the Board that in accordance with the Landfill permit from DEQ, waste disposed in the County was only supposed to be that waste also generated in Orange County. Mr. Lacheney explained that the Board had previously discussed these amendments, and the changes desired by the Board had been made and advertised.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding: the desire to amend the language to include that approved commercial haulers and contractors also had permission to dispose of waste.

At 7:08 p.m., Chairman Frame called the Public Hearing to order to receive comments on the following:

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE SOLID WASTE ORDINANCE

The Board of Supervisors will consider an amendment to Article II (Collection and Disposal) of the Orange County Solid Waste Ordinance, specifically to Section 50-38 (Origin of Refuse). This amendment would codify the current policy whereby refuse may only be disposed of by residents and property owners of Orange County.

There being no speakers, Chairman Frame closed the Public Hearing at 7:08 p.m.

On the motion of Mr. Goodwin, seconded by Mr. Crozier, which carried by a vote of 5-0, the Board adopted the following ordinance, as modified:

ORDINANCE APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLE II (COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL), SECTION 50 (SOLID WASTE), OF THE ORANGE COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES CONCERNING THE ORIGIN OF WASTE DISPOSED IN THE COUNTY

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors previously initiated action on amendments to Article II (Collection and Disposal), Section 50 (Solid Waste), of the Orange County Code of Ordinances concerning the origin of waste disposed in the County; and

WHEREAS, recommended language for the text amendments was drafted, which was presented to the Board of Supervisors for consideration; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors conducted a duly advertised Public Hearing on June 14, 2016, to receive public comment; and

WHEREAS, following discussion at the Public Hearing, the Board of Supervisors hereby supports the proposed text amendments, as modified during its meeting; and

WHEREAS, public necessity, convenience, general welfare, and/or good practice also support approval of the proposed text amendments;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, on this 14th day of June, 2016, that the Orange County Board of Supervisors hereby **approves** the proposed amendments to Article II (Collection and Disposal), Section 50 (Solid Waste), of the Orange County Code of Ordinances concerning the origin of waste disposed in the County, as modified and shown below.

Ayes: Johnson, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

Adopted Amendments to the Orange County Code of Ordinances

**As adopted in Ord. No. 160614 – PH3
by the Orange County Board of Supervisors
on June 14, 2016**

Chapter 50 - Solid Waste

Article II - Collection and Disposal

Sec. 50-38. - Origin of refuse.

Refuse disposed at the county convenience centers and sanitary landfill must have been generated in Orange County, and such refuse may only be disposed of by residents and landowners of Orange County, or county-approved commercial vendors. The county administrator is authorized to establish such policies and procedures as are necessary to implement the requirements of this section.

(Ord. of 6-8-2010)

RE: **BOARD COMMENT**

Supervisor White commented on additional funding that had been received by the Culpeper Soil and Water Conservation District and a subsequent request that would be forthcoming to fund a portion of the new staff member required to oversee said program funding. He also commented on the possibility of initiating discussions with Louisa County regarding its public safety telecommunications project.

Supervisor Crozier provided a brief update from the most recent Central Virginia Regional Jail (CVRJ) Authority meeting.

Chairman Frame commented on the most recent Litter Control Committee meeting. He also expressed interest in learning more about the cost overages with the Comprehensive Services Act (CSA) and the Community and Policy Management Team (CPMT).

Supervisor Goodwin commented on the success of the recent community shredding event hosted by the Ruritans and the Litter Control Committee.

RE: INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

The Board received the following correspondence for its information:

- May 18, 2016 Local Board of Building Code Appeals Minutes

RE: APPOINTMENTS TO BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, AND COMMITTEES

There were no appointments at this time.

RE: CALENDAR

The Board received copies of its calendar for the months of June 2016, July 2016, and August 2016.

RE: SCHEDULE A PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING AMENDMENTS FOR PYROTECHNIC ORGANIZATIONS

By consensus, the Board authorized staff to advertise for and schedule a public hearing regarding amendments for pyrotechnic organizations on Tuesday, July 12, 2016 at 7:00 p.m.

RE: SCHEDULE A PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING AMENDMENTS FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS

By consensus, the Board authorized staff to advertise for and schedule a public hearing regarding amendments for telecommunications on Tuesday, July 12, 2016 at 7:00 p.m.

RE: ADJOURN

On the motion of Mr. Goodwin, seconded by Mr. White, which carried by a vote of 5-0, the Board adjourned the meeting at 7:28 p.m. Ayes: Johnson, White, Goodwin, Crozier, Frame. Nays: None.

Lee H. Frame, Chairman

R. Bryan David, County Administrator